

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,713	01/08/2002	George Kindness		2565
25175	7590 01/08/2003			
BROOKE SO	CHUMM III CHARLES STREET		EXAMINER SRIVASTAVA, KAILASH C	
SUITE 2450	CHARLES STREET			
BALTIMORE	, MD 21014		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	2
			DATE MAILED: 01/08/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
1	Office Action Summany	10/040,713	KINDNESS ET AL.		
Ī	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Dr. Kailash C. Srivastava	1651		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply				
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ja</u>	anuary 2002 .			
	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.			
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims				
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
	7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	8) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers				
1	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
	12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
1	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
ı	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic				
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)					
3	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) stent Application (PTO-152)		
	S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Actio	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 3		

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-30 are pending.

Election / Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Group I, consisting of claims 1-5 drawn to a composition, classified in Class
 424 subclass 602, for example.
 - Group II, consisting of claims 6-10 drawn to a method to treat vascular insufficiency, classified under Class 424, subclass 44.4, for example.
 - Group III, consisting of claims 11-17 drawn to an assay method, classified under Class 435, subclass 4, for example.
 - Group IV, consisting of claims 18-25 drawn to another assay method, classified under Class 424, subclass 2, for example.
 - Group V, consisting of claims 26-30 drawn to a third assay method, classified under Class 435, subclass 13 or?, for example.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention encompassing claims in Group I, is unrelated to inventions in Groups III-V because they are directed to different inventions that are not connected in design, operation and/or effect. These inventions are independent since they are not disclosed as capable of use together. They have different modes of operation, they have different functions, and/or they have different effects. One would not have to practice the composition/procedures encompassed in each one of the inventions of Groups I, III-V at the same time to practice just one invention alone (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, for e.g., different inventions disclosed in the claims encompassing Groups I and V are a composition and an assay method respectively. However, the composition is not assayed by the method encompassing claims in Group V (e.g., the method measures glutathione levels in a patient's serum), and the method may not necessarily measure the components of the composition claimed in claims encompassed in invention of Group I.

Inventions in Group I is related to invention in Groups II as product and use thereof.

The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product [MPEP § 806.05(h)]. The inventions encompassed in Groups I and II can be accomplished with a number of products (e.g., pharmaceutical preparations available in the market place). Similarly, product of invention in Group II for e.g., has numerous materially different uses than those claimed. For e.g., as treatment for metal intoxication.

Inventions encompassing claims in Groups II-V are also unrelated to each other because they are directed to different inventions that are not connected in design, operation and/or effect. These methods are independent since they are not disclosed as capable of use together. They have different modes of operation, they have different functions, and/or they have different effects. One would not have to practice the composition encompassed in each one of the inventions of Groups II or III at the same time to practice just one procedure/method alone (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, for e.g., different inventions disclosed in the claims encompassing inventions in Groups II and IV are each a method to treat vascular insufficiency and an assay method to evaluate efficacy of EDTA treatment.

The inventions discussed above are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches. The search for each one of the above inventions is not coextensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference that would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification (i.e., class and subclass), and their recognized diverse subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kailash C. Srivastava whose telephone number is (703) 605-1196. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. (Eastern Standard or Daylight Savings Time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be reached on (703) 308-4743 Monday through Thursday. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Kailash C. Srivastava, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit <u>1651</u> (703) 605-1196

January 7, 2003

Jon P. Weber, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner